



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/076,566		02/19/2002	Yumiko Seki	500.41209X00	1290
24956	7590	02/02/2006	EXAMINER		
		NGER, MALUR	ABEL JALII	ABEL JALIL, NEVEEN	
1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/076,566	SEKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Neveen Abel-Jalil	2165			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2165

DETAILED ACTION

1. In response to applicant's attorney of Record Mr. Daniel J. Stagner telephonic request on January 20, 2006 regarding the last Office action, the following corrective action is taken.

The period for reply of 3 MONTHS set in said Office Action is restarted to begin with the mailing date of this letter.

2. The preliminary amendment filed on 6-December-2005 has been received and entered.

Claims 1-12 are now pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 3-4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 3, line 2, the recitation of "predetermined format by user" is vague and unclear since it is further processed to state, "by checking"... to imply an automatic process. It is unclear to the Examiner whether the editing of the retrieval results is done manual or by the computer.

Claims 4 and 6 are dependent on dependent claim 3 and therefore carry the same deficiency.

Art Unit: 2165

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by <u>Premerlani et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,555,367).

As to claim 1, <u>Premerlani et al.</u> discloses a retrieval device in a system having computers and databases connected to one another, comprising:

a retrieval reservation registering unit, said retrieval reservation registering unit registering retrieval requests issued by users (See <u>Premerlani et al.</u> column 2, lines 37-46);

a duplicate integrating unit, said duplicate integrating unit combining duplicates requested in differing said retrieval requests in accordance with pre-stored rules to generate an integrated retrieval requests (See <u>Premerlani et al.</u> column 5, lines 3-23) to lessen a number of retrieval request (Intended use therefore not given patentable weight);

a retrieval device unit, said retrieval device unit retrieving information from the databases on the basis of contents of said integrated retrieval request integration said retrieval requests to obtain retrieval results (See <u>Premerlani et al.</u> column 5, lines 24-40); and

Art Unit: 2165

an output creating unit, said output creating unit creating, on the basis of the retrieval requests issued by the users and said retrieval results, retrieval results data to be sent to said users when information is retrieved from said databases (See <u>Premerlani et al.</u> column 2, lines 58-67).

As to claim 7, <u>Premerlani et al.</u> discloses wherein said retrieval device unit acquires or sends retrieval results in accordance with said retrieval requests at predetermined intervals of time on the basis of predetermined information freshness (See <u>Premerlani et al.</u> column 2, lines 37-46, wherein "predetermined information freshness" reads on "interactively").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-6, and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Premerlani et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,555,367) in view of Himmel et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,237,035 B1).

As to claim 2, <u>Premerlani et al.</u> does not teach wherein in said retrieval reservation registering unit, duplicates are checked and eliminated in said retrieval requests which exist at present and in the past so as to integrate retrieval conditions.

Application/Control Number: 10/076,566

Art Unit: 2165

<u>Premerlani et al.</u> discloses the claimed invention except for wherein in said retrieval reservation registering unit, duplicates are checked and eliminated in said retrieval requests

which exist at present and in the past so as to integrate retrieval conditions.

<u>Himmel et al.</u> teaches wherein in said retrieval reservation registering unit, duplicates are checked and eliminated in said retrieval requests which exist at present and in the past so as to integrate retrieval conditions (See <u>Himmel et al.</u> column 8, lines 7-15).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to wherein in said retrieval reservation registering unit, duplicates are checked and eliminated in said retrieval requests which exist at present and in the past so as to integrate retrieval conditions since it was known in the art that keeping tracked of all duplicate records and requests and eliminating them allows for database optimization and better performance.

As to claim 3, <u>Premerlani et al.</u> as modified discloses wherein said retrieval device unit edits said retrieval results data in a predetermined format by user by checking duplicates of the retrieval requests issued by users respectively and sends said edited retrieval results data to said users respectively on the basis of retrieval conditions desired by said users, said retrieval results data being acquired on the basis of said integrated retrieval conditions (See <u>Himmel et al.</u> column 8, lines 7-15, also see <u>Himmel et al.</u> column 1, lines 47-55, prior art).

As to claim 4, <u>Premerlani et al.</u> as modified discloses wherein upon editing of said retrieval results by user, said retrieval device unit eliminates duplicates from said retrieval results

desired by each of said users and integrates said retrieval results by each of said users when there are said duplicates in contents of said retrieval results, so that said retrieval device unit sends edited said retrieval results data to said user (See Himmel et al. column 6, lines 1-15).

As to claim 5, <u>Premerlani et al.</u> as modified discloses comprising a method in which at least one previously retrieved result is held in said retrieval reservation registration registering unit, so that, when a user issues a retrieval request, said user selects on a basis of information freshness desired by the user to acquire a retrieval result from said held at least one previously retrieved result retrieved among a predetermined time interval or to execute new retrieval from original data (See <u>Himmel et al.</u> column 5, lines 44-67).

As to claim 6, <u>Premerlani et al.</u> as modified discloses wherein when there are duplicates in retrieval requests among users and said retrieval requests are integrated to thereby acquire said retrieval results, said retrieval device unit, makes on the basis of retrieval, copies of said retrieval result, integrates duplicates in said retrieval results by each of the users, and sends thus modified said retrieval results to said respective users issuing said requests for said retrieval results data (See Premerlani et al. column 5, lines 3-23).

As to claims 8-12, <u>Premerlani et al.</u> discloses a retrieval method in a system having computers and databases connected to one another, comprising:

registering retrieval requests issued by users (See <u>Premerlani et al.</u> column 2, lines 37-46);

Art Unit: 2165

integrating said retrieval requests in said retrieval requests (See <u>Premerlani et al.</u> column 5, lines 3-23);

retrieving said databases by using said integrated retrieval requests and acquiring retrieval results (See <u>Premerlani et al.</u> column 5, lines 24-40);

generating duplicates of retrieval results from said acquired retrieval results (See Premerlani et al. column 5, lines 3-23);

editing said duplicates of retrieval results on the basis of said retrieval requests (See Premerlani et al. column 5, lines 42-60); and

integrating said duplicates of retrieval results from said retrieval results to be sent to each of said users on the basis of similarity between contents of said retrieval results (See <u>Premerlani</u> et al. column 5, lines 3-23).

<u>Premerlani et al.</u> discloses the claimed invention except for integrating said retrieval requests by eliminating duplicates in said retrieval requests.

Himmel et al. teaches integrating said retrieval requests by eliminating duplicates in said retrieval requests (See <u>Himmel et al.</u> column 8, lines 7-15).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrating said retrieval requests by eliminating duplicates in said retrieval requests since it was known in the art that keeping tracked of all duplicate records and requests and eliminating them allows for database optimization and better performance.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2165

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: <u>James et al.</u> (WO 97/21177) teaches concurrent access to travel reservations system by multiple users tracking by timestamp.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Neveen Abel-Jalil whose telephone number is 571-272-4074.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5: 30PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Gaffin can be reached on 571-272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Neveen Abel-Jalil January 23, 2006